Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 193

Autumn View, LLC v. Planning & Zoning Commission	18
Ayres v. Ayres	224
Barber v. Barber	190
Boccantoso v. Daghoghi Summary process; claim that trial court applied incorrect legal standard in determining that defendants failed to prove their special defense of equitable nonforfeiture; whether court abused its discretion in applying doctrine of equitable nonforfeiture; whether trial court erred in finding that plaintiffs were unaware of contamination at property until after July 1, 2014; harmless error; reviewability of claim that trial court abused its discretion in finding that defendants failed to prove their special defenses of unjust enrichment and violation of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; whether trial court abused its discretion by not granting defendants' request for continuance so witness could testify.	137
Colon-Collazo v. Cox	251
Daley v. Kashmanian	171

whether trial court improperly granted motion for directed verdict on count alleging recklessness; whether evidence, viewed in light most favorably to plaintiff, was sufficient for jury reasonably to conclude that detective acted recklessly; whether jury reasonably could have concluded that detective consciously disregarded state laws relating to speed limits, reckless driving, following too closely and traveling in correct lane of traffic, and that he was aware of risks and dangers his conduct imposed on others, yet showed little regard for consequences of his actions; whether trial court properly set aside verdict in favor of plaintiff on negligence claim; whether circumstances surrounding conduct of detective demonstrated that he was engaged in discretionary activity for which he was entitled to governmental immunity.	
Doan v. Commissioner of Correction	263
Echeverria v. Commissioner of Correction	1
Francini v. Riggione	321
King v. Commissioner of Correction	61
Kirby v . Commissioner of Correction	902
Peek v. Manchester Memorial Hospital	337

Putnam Park Apartments, Inc. v. Planning & Zoning Commission	42
$Zoning; whether {\it trial court improperly determined that zoning regulations permitted}$	
building to be located less than 100 feet from property line; whether trial court	
improperly determined that building proposal was consistent with zoning regula-	
tions; whether certain zoning regulation applied to special permit application.	a - .
R & P Realty Co. v. Peerless Indemnity Ins. Co.	374
Contracts; reviewability of claim that trial court erroneously concluded that defend-	
ant did not breach insurance policy by declining to cover increased demolition	
costs resulting from presence of asbestos and lead in building; reviewability of	
claim that trial court improperly found that increased demolition costs consti-	
tuted replacement costs, rather than being component of actual cash value of	
plaintiffs' loss, and that plaintiffs failed to provide reasonable notice to defendant	
of claim seeking recovery for increased demolition costs; failure of plaintiffs to	
provide adequate record for review.	001
Simms v. Commissioner of Correction	901
Soto v. Christians Alliance, Inc	901
State v. Bryan	285
Murder; conspiracy to commit murder; whether trial court abused its discretion by	
admitting into evidence as dual inculpatory statements under applicable rule of	
evidence (§ 8-6 [4]), statements that defendant's accomplice made to friend of	
accomplice about victim's murder; claim that accomplice's statements to friend	
of accomplice were inadmissible as dual inculpatory statements because they	
sought to shift blame for victim's murder to defendant; claim that accomplice's	
statements to friend of accomplice were not against accomplice's penal interest;	
whether trial court correctly concluded that accomplice's statements to friend of	
accomplice were trustworthy; unpreserved claim that state failed to disclose to	
defendant, in violation of Brady v. Maryland (373 U.S. 83), certain police internal affairs records that concerned allegations of prior misconduct by detective who	
investigated murder; whether police internal affairs records were material to	
outcome of defendant's trial.	05
State v. Cane	95
Criminal possession of firearm; criminal possession of ammunition; possession of	
controlled substance with intent to sell; whether trial court erroneously denied	
motion to suppress evidence police seized from defendant's home and car; claim that trial court improperly found that warrantless search of defendant's home	
by police after he was arrested and in police custody constituted justifiable,	
protective sweep of defendant's home; reviewability of unpreserved claims that	
defendant was constructively seized by police and that they lacked probable cause	
to search his car; whether information in affidavit of police officer in support	
of warrant application provided basis for determination that probable cause	
existed to search defendant's vehicle; unpreserved claim that trial court committed	
plain error when it granted state's motion for joinder, where defendant, personally	
and through counsel, expressly stated that he had no objection to joinder; unpre-	
served claim of judicial bias; whether reversal of judgment was warranted under	
plain error doctrine where defendant claimed that trial court, in pretrial memo-	
randum of decision on motion to suppress, had found him guilty of kidnapping and assault charges as to certain individuals it referred to as victims and then	
considered those charges in sentencing him.	70
State v. Gomes	79
Assault in second degree; whether trial court deprived defendant of right to present	
defense of investigative inadequacy when it omitted from its jury instructions	
certain language in defendant's written request to charge that pertained to alleged	
inadequacy of police investigation as it might relate to weaknesses in state's case.	0.40
State v. Shin	348
Interfering with officer; disorderly conduct; reviewability of claim that defendant's	
arrest and seizure by police was illegal, where claim was raised for first time	
in reply brief, defendant never moved to suppress evidence, and trial court did	
not make any factual findings or legal conclusions regarding whether any evi-	
dence was illegally seized; claim that evidence was insufficient to support convic-	
tion because police officers' testimony was fabricated; reviewability of claim that	
trial court improperly admitted testimony from police officers about statements	
defendant made in Internet video he had posted; whether defendant failed to	
secure finalized, specific ruling as to testimony of officers; whether trial court abused its discretion when it denied defendant's request to excuse prospective	
abused its discretion when it denied defendant's request to excuse prospective	

defendant's state constitutional right to compulsory process when it denied	
request to issue subpoena to rabbi from out of state; reviewability of claim that	
trial court improperly found defendant incompetent to stand trial before it later	
determined that he was competent to stand trial; whether claim that trial court	
violated defendant's constitutional right to travel when it imposed as term of	
conditional discharge special condition that he stay out of Connecticut for two	
years was moot; whether claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional	
right to travel was not moot because it fell within collateral consequences exception	
to mootness doctrine.	
Water Pollution Control Authority v. McKinley	901